PPPL

PRINCETON PLASMA PHYSICS LABORATORY

PROCEDURE

No. ENG-033 Rev 4 Attachment 4

Design Review Objectives and Input Documentation

Page 2 of 2

Preliminary (PDR)	•	Verify that all requirements are being addressed. Identify requirements or design conflicts and potential "show-stoppers"	•	Updated Work Planning form, if applicable. Resolution of CDR Chits, if any
	•	Review the results of analyses, calculations, and tests conducted to obtain additional	•	Requirement changes since CDR, if held. Otherwise, requirements. Documentation defining proposed design
	-	Review the ability to implement the proposed design taking into consideration capabilities, tolerances, costs, quality, reliability, human performance and ergonomics, security, and ES&H security.	-	approach. Design and development information. Results of calculations upon which design is based.
	•	Review procurement issues, e.g. build vs. buy.	•	Updated cost & schedule estimates. Drawings, as appropriate.
	•	Review test requirements and plans. Review updated design and development plans and schedules.	•	List of identified procurements and build vs. buy decision.
	•	Assure the appropriate incorporation of recommendations from previous design reviews.		
	-	Review manufacturability.		
Final (FDR)	•	Verify that the final design satisfies the requirements and is ready for implementation. Assure that detailed analyses, calculations, and tests to validate the design are complete and documented.	•	Updated Work Planning form, if applicable.
			•	Resolution of PDR Chits, if any
				Requirement changes since PDR, if held. Otherwise, requirements.
			-	Documentation defining final design approach.
	•	Verify, as appropriate, that the final product can be manufactured, inspected, assembled, stored, delivered, and installed reliably, safely, and cost effectively Verify that human performance and human factors considerations are appropriately addressed in the design. Further information about human factors in designs may be found in Attachment 6	•	Documented and checked calculations upon which design is based.
				Formal drawings, to level required to proceed with procurement/ fabrication/ assembly as
				schematics. Drawings should be checked but need not be signed pending outcome of review and chit resolution.
			•	Revised cost and schedule estimates.
	•	Verify that procurement issues have been identified and resolved	•	Documentation of tests to be performed.
	•	Verify that appropriate documentation is available for producing the final product (e.g. drawings, installation procedures).		Drawings, as appropriate.
	•	Verify that appropriate test plans for the final product have been established.		
	•	Assure the appropriate incorporation of recommendations from previous design reviews.		
	•	Review manufacturability.		

PPPL

Human Performance Improvements/Factors Consideration in

Design Reviews

Human Performance Factors

Potentially relevant design review questions are listed below. However, the reader should not limit the human performance aspects of a review to these questions.

- 1. Have potential human or mechanical failures been identified? If so, is there adequate defense in depth¹ to either assure that these failures do not occur or, if they do, the consequences of these failures are minimized?
- 2. Does this design result in latent errors² that should be corrected?
- 3. Does the design take into consideration the human factors associated with fabrication, installation, testing, and operation? Considerations include:
 - a. Are the human interfaces and displays consistent with the work to be done, consistent with other interfaces and displays that the same individuals must use, easy to understand, properly labeled, considerate of human limitations such as color blindness, etc.?
 - b. Can the final fabrication or construction be safely performed? Are unique tools required that may not be available? Are there excessive lifting or carrying requirements? Does the design require people to work in an awkward position.

¹ An approach to facility safety that builds in layers of defense against release of or exposure to hazardous materials so that no one layer by itself, no matter how good, is completely relied upon. To compensate for potential human and mechanical failures, defense in depth is based on several layers of protection with successive barriers to prevent the release of or exposure to hazardous materials. This approach includes protection of the barriers to avert damage to the plant and to the barriers themselves. It includes further measures to protect the public, workers, and the environment from harm in case these barriers are not fully effective. Defense in depth controls include engineering controls, administrative processes, and personnel staffing and capabilities.[DOE M 450.1]

² An error, act, or decision that results in organization-related weaknesses or equipment flaws that lie dormant until revealed either by human error, testing, or self-assessment. [DOE M 450.1]